

## Conditional GANs, Image-to-Image Translation Jun-Yan Zhu 16-726, Spring 2022

© https://affinelayer.com/pixsrv/, pix2pix [Isola et al., 2016]

Ideal models (Dream)

Pros: good sample, fast sample, Exact/fast likelihoods

good coverage, easy to training, learn low-dimensional latent representation. Autoregressive models

Pros: Exact likelihoods, good coverage

Cons: Slow to evaluate or sample

**VAEs** 

Pros: fast to sample, fast to train, good coverage

Cons: Blurry samples (in practice)

GANs

Pros: fast to sample, fast to train, good samples

Cons: No likelihoods (density), bad coverage (mode collapse)

Flow-based models

Pros: fast to sample, exact likelihoods

Cons: memory-intensive; slow training; limited choices for generators, high-dimensional codes

**Diffusion models** 

Pros: good samples, good coverage

Cons: slow training, slow sampling

## Which model is better?

- It depends on your applications
  - Synthesis
  - Classification
  - Density estimation
- Which model is easier to train?
- Which model is faster (training & inference)?



## Conditional GANs, Image-to-Image Translation Jun-Yan Zhu 16-726, Spring 2021

© https://affinelayer.com/pixsrv/, pix2pix [Isola et al., 2016]

# **Problem Statement**



# **Problem Statement**



Input

Output

<u>Goal</u>: synthesize a photograph given an input image

# Early work (Example-based)



### Semantic Photo Synthesis [Johnson et al., Eurographics 2006]



Sketch2Photo [Tao et al., SIGGRAPH Asia 2009]

# Semantic Photo Synthesis



M. Johnson, G. Brostow, J. Shotton, O. A. c, and R. Cipolla, "Semantic Photo Synthesis," **Eurographics 2006** 

# Semantic Photo Synthesis [EG'06]





M. Johnson, G. Brostow, J. Shotton, O. A. c, and R. Cipolla, "Semantic Photo Synthesis," Eurographics 2006

# Semantic Photo Synthesis



# Semantic Photo Synthesis



M. Johnson, G. Brostow, J. Shotton, O. A. c, and R. Cipolla, "Semantic Photo Synthesis," Computer Graphics Forum Journal (Eurographics 2006), vol. 25, no. 3, 2006.

# Learning-based methods

# Loss functions for Image Synthesis







Learnable rendering

Input X

- What is a good objective  $\mathcal{L}$ ?
- What is a good loss?
- How to calculate it efficiently?
- How to collect data (x, y)?





### Output Image G(x)

# **Designing Loss Functions**







**Predicted output** 



GT output

L2 regression  $\arg\min_{G} \mathbb{E}[||G(x) - y||]$ 



# **Designing Loss Functions**

### Image colorization





## L2 regression

### Super-resolution



## L2 regression

# **Designing Loss Functions**

## Image colorization





**Classification Loss:** Cross entropy objective, with colorfulness term

### [Zhang et al. 2016] Super-resolution



Feature/Perceptual loss Deep feature matching objective

[Gatys et al., 2016], [Johnson et al. 2016], [Dosovitskiy and Brox. 2016]

# "Perceptual Loss"



Gatys et al. In CVPR, 2016. Johnson et al. In ECCV, 2016. Dosovitskiy and Brox. In NIPS, 2016.

Chen and Koltun. In ICCV, 2017.







## CNNs as a Perceptual Metric



c.f. Gatys et al. CVPR 2016. Johnson et al. ECCV 2016. Dosovitskiy and Brox. NIPS 2016.



## **CNNs as a Perceptual Metric**



F is a deep network (e.g., ImageNet classifier)



# Learning with Perceptual Loss







# CRN [Chen and Koltun, 2017]

## Generated images







**Universal loss?** 







- •
- •

## Generated images



## Real vs. Fake



[Goodfellow, Pouget-Abadie, Mirza, Xu, Warde-Farley, Ozair, Courville, Bengio 2014]









Output image

Input image



A two-player game:

- G tries to generate fake images that can fool D.
- D tries to detect fake images. ullet

## Real (1) or fake (0)?



# Learning objective $\min_{G} \max_{D} \mathbb{E}_{x} \left[ \log(1 - D(G(x))) \right] + \mathbb{E}_{y} \left[ \log D(y) \right]$





## Learnable Loss function



## Learnable Loss function



## Learning objective

 $\min \max \mathbb{E}_x[\log(1 - D(G(x))] + \mathbb{E}_y[\log D(y)]]$ G

## Pix2pix [Isola et al., 2016]



Learning objective

 $\min \max \mathbb{E}_x[\log(1 - D(G(x))] + \mathbb{E}_y[\log D(y)]]$ G

### Pix2pix [Isola et al., 2016]



## Real or fake **pair** ?

## Pix2pix [Isola et al., 2016]

# pix2pix Generator (U-Net)



U-Net [Ronneberger et al.]: popular CNN backbone for biomedical image segmentation <u>U-Net</u>: preserve high-frequency information (e.g., edge) of the input image. Encoder-decoder: lose high-frequency details due to the information bottleneck

# pix2pix Generator (U-Net)



Generator design is critical for image quality. cGAN (conditional GANs) loss: capture realism. L1 loss stabilizes training (faster convergence)





# pix2pix Discriminator (PatchGAN)



- Rather than penalizing if output image looks fake, penalize if each overlapping *patches* looks fake • Focus on local visual cues (color,
- textures).
- <u>Global</u> structure: the input image has already encoded global structure. L1 loss can help as well.
- Advantages
- Faster, fewer parameters
- More supervised observations
- Applies to arbitrarily large images



## https://affinelayer.com/pixsrv/



## Input: Skayskale Outputp Photolor

## Real or fake **pair** ?

# Automatic Colorization with pix2pix



## Data from [Russakovsky et al. 2015]
## Automatic Colorization with pix2pix



### Data from [Russakovsky et al. 2015]



### Input: **Text** → Output: **Photo** Text-to-Image Synthesis

StackGAN, StackGAN++ [Zhang et al., 2016 and 2017], AttnGAN [Xu et al., 2018]

### Real or fake **pair** ?



## Input: **Class** → Output: **Photo Class-conditional GANs**

cGANs [Mirza and Osindero. 2014], SAGAN [Zhang et al., 2018], BigGAN [Brock et al., 2019]

### Real or fake **pair** ?

# StyleGAN-XL [Sauer et al., 2022]

## **Class-conditional Discriminator**



Projection Discriminator [Miyato and Koyama, ICLR 2018]

## **Class-conditional Discriminator**



Projection Discriminator [Miyato and Koyama, ICLR 2018]

## BigGAN



(a) 128×128

(b) 256×256

(c) 512×512







### Real or fake **pair** ?

#### Pix2pix [Isola et al., 2016]

## Limitations

- One-to-one mapping.
- Low-resolution output.
- Requires paired training data

## Improving Conditional GANs

- Multimodal synthesis.
- High-resolution synthesis.
- Model training without pairs (next lecture)













real



 $D_{K+1}$ 

Discriminator

**Discriminator: K+1 classification** 

Multi-agent Diverse GANs [Ghosh et al., CVPR 2018]

## Generator: fool D to classify fake as real

### real OR from $G_1 G_2 \cdots G_K$

### Synthesizing Multiple Results Night input Day output 1 Day output 2 Day output 3



#### Multi-agent Diverse GANs [Ghosh et al., CVPR 2018]













real



 $D_{K+1}$ 

Discriminator

**Discriminator: K+1 classification** 

Multi-agent Diverse GANs [Ghosh et al., CVPR 2018]

## Generator: fool D to classify fake as real

### real OR from $G_1 G_2 \cdots G_K$

### Synthesizing Multiple Results Night input Day output 1 Day output 2 Day output 3



#### Multi-agent Diverse GANs [Ghosh et al., CVPR 2018]



VAE-GAN [Larsen et al., 2016], BicycleGAN [Zhu et al., 2017]





BicycleGAN [Zhu et al., 2017]









#### BicycleGAN [Zhu et al., 2017]

### Synthesizing Multiple Results $G(x, z_1)$ Χ







 $\mathbf{T}$ 

$$\max_{G} \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{z}}(G) = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z}_{1},\boldsymbol{z}_{2}} \left[ \min \left( \frac{\|G(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{z}_{1}) - G(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{z}_{2})\|}{\|\boldsymbol{z}_{1} - \boldsymbol{z}_{2}\|}, \tau \right) \right],$$

DSGAN [Zhu et al., 2017]

#### Synthesizing Multiple Results $G(x, z_2)$ $G(x, z_1)$ Χ









 $z_2$ 

### DSGAN [Yang et al., 2019]



$$\max_{G} \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{z}}(G) = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z}_{1},\boldsymbol{z}_{2}} \left[ \min \left( \frac{\|G(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{z}_{1}) - G(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{z}_{2})\|}{\|\boldsymbol{z}_{1} - \boldsymbol{z}_{2}\|}, \tau \right) \right]$$

### DSGAN [Yang et al., 2019]

## Improving Conditional GANs

- Multimodal synthesis.
- High-resolution synthesis.
- Model training without pairs (next) lecture)

## The Curse of Dimensionality

### Pix2pix output

-----





pix2pixHD [Wang et al., 2018]



## pix2pixHD: 2048×1024



![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Conditional Image Synthesis in the Wild

![](_page_61_Picture_1.jpeg)

### pix2pixHD [Wang et al., 2018]

### pix2pixHD [Wang et al., 2018]

#### grass

![](_page_62_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Problem with standard networks

![](_page_63_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_0.jpeg)

### SPADE (ours)

![](_page_66_Figure_1.jpeg)

input

![](_page_66_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_3.jpeg)

### output

![](_page_66_Picture_5.jpeg)

## **SPADE**(SPAtially ADaptive DEnormalization)

![](_page_67_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **SPADE**(SPAtially ADaptive DEnormalization)

### Batch Norm (loffe et al. 2015)

![](_page_68_Figure_2.jpeg)

See other adaptive/conditional normalization: conditional BN (Dumoulin et al.), AdalN (Huang and Belongie), SFT (Wang et al.)

## Generator

![](_page_69_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Semantic Control SPADE SPADE ResBlk SPADE ResBlk SPADE ResBlk ResBlk -2 X -4

![](_page_70_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Semantic Control

![](_page_71_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Picture_2.jpeg)
## Semantic Control SPADE ResBlk SPADE ResBlk SPADE ResBlk SPADE ResBlk -2 X -4 \_4









**Style Manipulation** 





**Style Manipulation** 







## DU2019

By Darek Zabrocki, Concept Designer and Illustrator

· Green Bo

and the second second

-----

## Thank You!



## 16-726, Spring 2022

