

Generative Model Zoo (part II)

Jun-Yan Zhu 16-726 Learning-based Image Synthesis, Spring 2023

many slides from Phillip Isola, Richard Zhang, Alyosha Efros



Autoregressive Models

Recall: we can represent colors as discrete classes



 $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{y}, f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})) = H(\mathbf{y}, \texttt{softmax}(f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})))$





P(next pixel | previous pixels) $P(p_i|p_1,\cdots,p_{i-1})$ probability











 $p_i \sim P(p_i$

probability



$p_i \sim P(p_i | p_1, \cdots, p_{i-1})$







 $p_i \sim P(p_i$

probability



$p_i \sim P(p_i | p_1, \cdots, p_{i-1})$







brobability $p_i \sim P(p_i$



$p_i \sim P(p_i | p_1, \cdots, p_{i-1})$





$$p_1 \sim P(p_1)$$

 $p_2 \sim P(p_2|p_1)$
 $p_3 \sim P(p_3|p_1, p_2)$
 $p_4 \sim P(p_4|p_1, p_2, p_3)$

$$\{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\} \sim P(p_4|p_1, p_2, p_3)P(p_3|p_1, p_2)$$

$$p_i \sim P(p_i | p_1, \dots, p_{i-1})$$
 $\mathbf{p} \sim \prod_{i=1}^N P(p_i | p_i)$

$P_2)P(p_2|p_1)P(p_1)$

$$(p_i|p_1,\ldots,p_{i-1})$$

Per-pixel classification vs. Autoregressive

- Image colorization: per-pixel classification loss
- PixelCNN, VQ-VAE2: autoregressive model
- Key idea: it can only produce discrete representation (e.g., VQ codes, color bins)





$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Autoregressive m} \\ & \mathbf{p} \sim \prod_{i=1}^{N} P(p_i | p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}) \\ & P(\mathbf{p}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} P(p_i | p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}) \end{aligned} \quad \leftarrow \text{ General prod} \end{aligned}$$

The sampling procedure we defined above takes exact samples from the learned probability distribution.

Multiplying all conditionals evaluates the probability of a full joint configuration of pixels.

odel

duct rule



Variational Auto-encoder

Variational Autoencoders (VAEs)

 $\hat{x} = G^{\mu}_{\theta}(z)$ \hat{x}



Gaussian

|p(z))]

SS $||x - \hat{x}||_2 \quad \text{KLD}(\mathcal{N}(E^{\mu}_{\psi}(x), E^{\sigma}_{\psi}(x)) \mid \mathcal{N}(0, I))$

[Kingma and Welling, 2014]

Autoencoders (AEs)



$$\max_{\theta,\psi} \mathbb{E}_{x_i \sim p_{data}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\psi}(z|x_i)} [p_{\theta}(x|z)] \\ \uparrow \\ \text{reconstruction loss} \\ ||x - \hat{x}||_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

generator $\hat{x} = G^{\mu}_{\theta}(z)$

 \hat{x}



[Hinton and Salakhutdinov, Science 2006]

Autoencoders (AEs) + Easier Sampling



$$\max_{\theta,\psi} \mathbb{E}_{x_i \sim p_{data}} [\mathbb{E}_{q_{\psi}(z|x_i)}[p_{\theta}(x|z)] - \mathrm{KL}(q_{\psi}(z|x_i)|)$$

$$\uparrow$$
reconstruction loss KLD lo

[Hinton and Salakhutdinov, Science 2006]





|p(z))]

SS

Denoising Autoencoders (AEs)



$$\max_{\theta,\psi} \mathbb{E}_{x_i \sim p_{data}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\psi}(z|x_i)} [p_{\theta}(x|z)] \\ \uparrow \\ \text{reconstruction loss} \\ \text{corrupt input} \end{bmatrix}$$

[Hinton and Salakhutdinov, Science 2006]

 \hat{x}



Denoising vs. Compression

Variational Autoencoder (VAE)





Flow-based Models

Flow-based models



- x and z have the same number of dimensions (memory; training speed)
- limited choices of f and G
- + Fast sample; accurate density estimate

[Dinh et al., 2016]

Density $p_{\theta}(x): \mathcal{X} \to [0, 1]$

Sampler $f_{\theta}(z): \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}$

Flow-based models

• Density estimate $x \sim p_{data}(x)$ z = f(x)





Latent space \mathcal{Z}



[Dinh et al., 2016]

Flow-based models

Training objective

Change of variable formula

 $p_{\text{data}}(x) = p_z(f(x)) |\det(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x^T})|$

$$\log p_{\text{data}}(x) = \log(p_z(f(x)))$$

 Sampling $z \sim p(z)$ x = G(z)Generator $G = f^{-1}$

22

• Density estimate

 $x \sim p_{data}(x)$

z = f(x)

Easy to compute as z follows Gaussian distribution

> design layers whose Jacobian is a triangular matrix



hard to compute Jacobian determinant for most layers

[Dinh et al., 2016]

Design invertible layers

$$y_{1:d} = x_{1:d}$$

$$y_{d+1:D} = x_{d+1:D} \odot \exp\left(s(x_{1:d})\right) + t(x_{1:d}),$$

Jacobian matrix

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{T}} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathbb{I}_{d} & 0 \\ \frac{\partial y_{d+1:D}}{\partial x_{1:d}^{T}} & \operatorname{diag}\left(\exp\left[s\left(x_{1:d}\right)\right]\right) \end{array} \right],$$

y1 = s **X**1

(a) Forward propagation

s, t: affine transformation with parameters







(b) Inverse propagation

[Dinh et al., 2016]

Flow-based Models



Real NVP [Dinh et al., ICLR 2017]



Glow [Kingma and Dhariwal, NeurIPS 2018]

Diffusion Models

Diffusion Models







Animations from https://yang-song.github.io/blog/2021/score/

"destroy" the data by gradually adding small amounts of gaussian noise

- "create" data by gradually denoising a noisy code from a stationary distribution

Denoising Diffusion Models Learning to generate by denoising

Denoising diffusion models consist of two processes:

Data

- Forward diffusion process that gradually adds noise to input
- Reverse denoising process that learns to generate data by denoising

Forward diffusion process (fixed)



Reverse denoising process (generative)

Sohl-Dickstein et al., Deep Unsupervised Learning using Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics, ICML 2015 Ho et al., Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models, NeurIPS 2020 Song et al., Score-Based Generative Modeling through Stochastic Differential Equations, ICLR 2021

Noise

Slide credit: Karsten Kreis et al.

Forward Diffusion Process

The formal definition of the forward process in T steps:



Slide credit: Karsten Kreis et al.

Noise

Diffusion Kernel

Forward diffusion process (fixed)



(Diffusion Kernel)

Slide credit: Karsten Kreis et al.

• Reparameterization Trick $\alpha_t = 1 - \beta_t$ $\bar{\alpha}_t = \prod \alpha_i$



• Reparameterization Trick $\alpha_t = 1 - \beta_t$ $\bar{\alpha}_t = \prod \alpha_i$

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \sqrt{\alpha_t} \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t-1}$$



• Reparameterization Trick $\alpha_t = 1 - \beta_t$ $\bar{\alpha}_t = \prod \alpha_i$

$$\mathbf{x}_{t} = \sqrt{\alpha_{t}} \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{t}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t-1}$$
$$= \sqrt{\alpha_{t}} \alpha_{t-1} \mathbf{x}_{t-2} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{t}} \alpha_{t-1} \bar{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{t-2}$$



• Reparameterization Trick $\alpha_t = 1 - \beta_t$ $\bar{\alpha}_t = \prod \alpha_i$

$$\mathbf{x}_{t} = \sqrt{\alpha_{t}} \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{t}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t-1}$$
$$= \sqrt{\alpha_{t}} \alpha_{t-1} \mathbf{x}_{t-2} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{t}} \alpha_{t-1} \bar{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{t-2}$$
$$= \dots$$
$$= \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t}} \mathbf{x}_{0} + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$



• Reparameterization Trick $\alpha_t = 1 - \beta_t$ $\bar{\alpha}_t = \prod \alpha_i$

$$\mathbf{x}_{t} = \sqrt{\alpha_{t}} \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{t}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t-1}$$
$$= \sqrt{\alpha_{t}} \alpha_{t-1} \mathbf{x}_{t-2} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{t}} \alpha_{t-1} \bar{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{t-2}$$
$$= \dots$$
$$= \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t}} \mathbf{x}_{0} + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$
$$q(\mathbf{x}_{t} | \mathbf{x}_{0}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{t}; \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t}} \mathbf{x}_{0}, (1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t}) \mathbf{I})$$

Direct sampling from $0 \rightarrow t$



What happens to a distribution in the forward diffusion?

So far, we discussed the diffusion kernel $q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)$ but what about $q(\mathbf{x}_t)$?



We can sample $\mathbf{x}_t \sim q(\mathbf{x}_t)$ by first sampling $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{x}_0)$ and then sampling $\mathbf{x}_t \sim q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)$

Slide credit: Karsten Kreis et al.

Generative Learning by Denoising

Recall, that the diffusion parameters are designed such that $q(\mathbf{x}_T) \approx \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_T; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$



Can we approximate $q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t)$? Yes, we can use a Normal distribution if β_t is small in each forward diffusion step.

Diffused Data Distributions

Slide credit: Karsten Kreis et al.

Reverse Denoising Process

Formal definition of forward and reverse processes in T steps:



Data

Slide credit: Karsten Kreis et al.

Noise